

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,266	SCHLIG, DANIEL LOUIS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Charles E. Wands (Reg. No. 25,649). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3,10-13,16,17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's attorney to present changes to above-mentioned claims, especially to correct any formal/informal under 35 USC 112, 2nd. Applicant's attorney, Mr. Wands authorized the examiner's amendment to amend the above-mentioned claims. Claims 1-18 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).